Восточно-Европейский ЖУРНАЛ передовых технологий



Східно-Європейський ЖУРНАЛ передових технологій

Control processes

3/3 (105) 2020 Content

6 Optimization of inventory management models with variable input parameters by perturbation methods D. Bikulov, O. Holovan, O. Oliynyk, K. Shupchynska, S. Markova, A. Chkan, E. Makazan, K. Sukhareva, O. Kryvenko 16 Transport construction cost management by rational organizational and technological solutions O. Meneylyuk, A. Nikiforov, I. Meneylyuk 24 Choosing the rational management of high-rise building construction projects T. Kravchunovska, Ye. Zaiats, V. Kovalov, D. Nechepurenko, K. Kirnos 34 Development of an economic-mathematical model to determine the optimal duration of project operations I. Chaikovska, M. Chaikovskyi 42 Substantiating the criteria of choosing project solutions for climate control systems based on renewable energy sources L. Nakashydze, T. Hilorme, I. Nakashydze 50 Constructing and investigating a model of the energy entropy dynamics of organizations A. Bondar, S. Onyshchenko, O. Vishnevska, D. Vishnevskyi, S. Glovatska, A. Zelenskyi 57 Optimization of vehicle speed forecasting horizont on the intercity highway M. Oliskevych, R. Pelo, I. Prokudina, V. Silenko, O. Sorokivskyi, O. Zaiats 69 Development of a multinomial logit-model to choose a transportation mode for intercity travel M. Zhuk, H. Pivtorak, V. Kovalyshyn, I. Gits 78 Forming an automated technology to actively monitor the transportation of dangerous cargoes by railroad O. Lavrukhin, R. Vernyhora, V. Schevcenko, A. Kyman, O. Shulika, D. Kulova, K. Kim

86 Abstract&References

CONTROL PROCESSES

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Demin Dmitriy

Professor of the National Technical University «Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute», Director of Private Company «Technology Center», Kharkiv (Ukraine)

Terziyan Vagan

Professor of the University of Jyvaskyla (Finland)

EDITORIAL BOARD

ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGICAL SYSTEMS

Awrejcewicz Jan, Professor of Lodz University of Technology, Department of Automation, Biomechanics and Mechatronics, Lodz (Poland); Kindrachuk Myroslav, Professor of National Aviation University, Department of Tribological material science, powder metallurgy, functional materials, Kyiv (Ukraine); Korzhyk Volodymyr, Doctor of Technical Sciences, Director of the Chinese-Ukrainian E. O. Paton Welding Institute (CUPWI), Guangzhou (China); Marcin Kamiński, Professor of Lodz University of Technology, Department of Structural Mechanics, Lodz (Poland); Ulusoy Uğur, Professor of Cumhuriyet Universitesi, Department of Mining Engineering, Sivas (Turkey); Shen Houfa, Professor of Tsinghua University, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Beijing (China); Zagirnyak Mykhaylo, Professor of Kremenchuk Mykhailo Ostrohradskiy National University, Department of electric machines and apparatus, Kremenchuk (Ukraine); Zaloga Viliam, Professor of Sumy State University, Department of manufacturing engineering, machines and tools, Sumy (Ukraine)

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY. INDUSTRY CONTROL SYSTEMS

Cardoso Jorge, Professor of University of Coimbra, Faculty of Science and Technology, Coimbra (Portugal); lyengar Sitharama Sitharama, Professor of Florida International University, School of Computing and Information Sciences, Miami (USA); Jakab Frantisek, Associate Professor of Technical University of Kosice, Department of Computers and Informatics, Kosice (Slovak Republic); Lakhno Valeriy, Professor of National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv (Ukraine); Lytvyn Vasyl, Professor of Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of Information Systems and Networks, Lviv (Ukraine); Omelayenko Borys, PhD, Senior Software Engineer at Elsevier Amsterdam Area, Amsterdam (Netherlands); Ostapov Serhii, Professor of Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of Software, Chernivtsi (Ukraine); Peleshchyshyn Andriy, Professor of Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of Mathematical Information Technology, Jyvaskyla (Finland); Terziyan Vagan, Professor of University, Department of Mathematical Informatical Information Technology, Jyvaskyla (Finland); Teslyuk Vasyl, Professor of Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of Automated Control Systems, Lviv (Ukraine); Wrycza Stanisław, Professor of University Gdanski, Department of Business Informatics, Gdańsk (Poland); Zholtkevych Grygory, Professor of Karazin Kharkiv National University, Department of Theoretical Cand Applied Computer Science of School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Kharkiv (Ukraine)

CONTROL PROCESSES

Butko Tatiana, Professor of Ukrainian State University of Railway Transport, Department of operational work and international transportation, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Demin Dmitriy, Professor of National Technical University «Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute», director of the Private Company «Technology Center», Kharkiv (Ukraine); Gogunsky Viktor, Professor of Odessa National Politechnic University, Department of Management of life safety systems, Odessa (Ukraine); Myamlin Sergey, Professor of Dnipropetrovsk National University of Rail Transpor, Dnipro (Ukraine); Panchenko Sergii, Professor of Ukrainian State University of Railway Transport, Department of Automatic and computer remote control of train traffic, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Prokhorchenko Andrii, Professor of Ukrainian State University of Railway Transport, Department of Operational Work Management, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Rab Nawaz Lodhi, PhD, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology Sahiwal Campus (Pakistan); Sira Oksana, Professor of National Technical University «Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of distributed information systems and cloud technologies, Kharkiv (Ukraine)

MATHEMATICS AND CYBERNETICS - APPLIED ASPECTS

Ahmad Izhar, Associate Professor of King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Dhahran (Saudi Arabia); Atamanyuk Igor, Professor Mykolaiv National Agrarian University, Department of Higher and Applied Mathematics, Mykolaiv (Ukraine); Hari Mohan Srivastava, Professor University of Victoria, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Victoria (Canada); Kanellopoulos Dimitris, PhD, University of Patras, Department of Mathematics, Patra (Greece); Kondratenko Yuriy, Professor Petro Mohyla Black Sea National University, Department of Intelligent Information Systems, Mykolaiv (Ukraine); Romanova Tetyana, Professor Institute for Problems in Machinery of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Department of Mathematical Modeling and Optimal Design, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Savanevych Vadym, Professor State Space Agency of Ukraine, Kyiv (Ukraine); Trujillo Juan J., Professor of Universidad de la Laguna, Faculty of Mathematics, San Cristobal de La Laguna (Spain); Weber Gerhard Wilhelm, Professor of Middle East Technical University, Institute of Applied Mathematics, Ankara (Turkey)

APPLIED PHYSICS

Bobitski Yaroslav, Professor of University of Rzeszow, Department of mechatronics, Rzeszów (Poland); Glamazdin Alexander, PhD, National Science Center «Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology», Kharkiv (Ukraine); Grishanov Nikolay, Professor of Ukrainian State University of Railway Transport, Department of Physics of plasmas, Controlled Nuclear Fusion, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Ilchuk Hryhoriy, Professor of Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of General Physics, Lviv (Ukraine); Machado Jose Antonio Tenreiro, Professor of Polytechnic of Porto, Institute of Engineering, Department of Electrical Engineering, (Portugal); Magafas Lykourgos, Professor of Eastern Macedonia & Thrace Institute of Technology, Department of Electrical Engineering, Porfessor of Yuriy Fedkovych Chernivtsi National University, Department of Scientific, analytic and ecological instruments and systems, Kyiv (Ukraine); Mohammad Mehdi Rashidi, Professor of Tongji University, Shanghai (China); Nerukh Dmitry, Senior Lecturer Aston University, Department of Mathematics, Birmingham, United Kingdom; Pavlenko Anatoliy, Professor of Xielce University of Technology, Department of Physics of metals and semiconductors, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Tsazh Bohdan, Professor of Stepan Gzhytskyi National University, Department of Physics of Inovative Energy & Technology & Ecology, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Tsazh Bohdan, Professor of Stepan Gzhytskyi National University of Vetrinary Medicine and Biotechnologies Lviv, Department of General Technical Subjects and Production Quality Contro, Lviv (Ukraine); Vovk Ruslan, Professor of Karazin Kharkiv (Ukraine); Tsazh Bohdan, Professor of Stepan Gzhytskyi National University of Vetrinary Medicine and Biotechnologies Lviv, Department of General Technical Subjects and Production Quality Contro, Lviv (Ukraine); Vovk Ruslan, Professor of Karazin Kharkiv National University, Department of Thermitor Materia Subjects and Production Quality Contro, Lviv (Ukraine); Sort Ruslan, Professor of Karazin Kharkiv National University, Depart

TECHNOLOGY ORGANIC AND INORGANIC SUBSTANCES

Arvaidas Galdikas, Professor Kaunas University of Technology, Department of Physics, Kaunas (Lithuania); Barsukov Viacheslav, Professor Kyiv National University of Technologies and Design, Department for Electrochemical Power Engineering and Chemistry, Kyiv (Ukraine); Carda Juan B., Professor of Universidad Jaume I, Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Castellon de la Plana (Spain); Chumak Vitaliy, Professor of National Aviation University, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Kyiv (Ukraine); Doroshenko Andrey, V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Department of organic chemistry, Kharkov (Ukraine); Gerasimchuk Nikolay, Professor of Missouri State University, Department of Chemistry, Springfield (United States); Rotaru Andrei, Professor of University of Craiova, Department of Physics (Craiova); Kapustin Alexey, Professor of Pryazovskyi State Technical University, Department of Chemical, Polymeric and Silicate Machine Building, Kyiv (Ukraine); Krivenko Pavel, Professor fyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Scientific Research Institute for Binders and Materials, Kyiv (Ukraine); Martins Luísa, Associate Professor of Universidade de Lisboa, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Lisbon (Portugal); Plavan Viktoriia, Professor Kyiv National University of Technologies and Design, Department of Applied Ecology, Technology of Polymers and Chemistry and Bioanalytics, Torun (Poland); Sukhyy Mikhaylo, Professor of Ukraine State University in Torun, Department of Environal, University of Craiova, Department of Physics (Craiova); Sprynskyy Myroslav, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Department of Physics, Kuraine); Karua Andrei, University of Craiova, Department of Physics (Craiova); Sprynsky Myroslav, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Department of Environmental Chemistry and Bioanalytics, Torun (Poland); Sukhyy Mikhaylo, Professor of Ukraina State University of Chemical Technology, Department of Environal, Sando Photo-, Nano- and polygraphic materials, Dnipro (Ukraine); Vakhula Yaro

APPLIED MECHANICS

Aifantis Elias, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki (Greece); Akhundov Vladimir, Professor of National metallurgical academy of Ukraine, Department of Applied mechanics, Dnipro (Ukraine); Andrianov Igor, Professor of RWTH Aachen University, Department of General Mechanics, Aachen (Germany); Astanin Vyacheslav, Professor of National Aviation University, Department of Mechanics, Kyiv (Ukraine); Avramov Konstantin, Professor of A. Podgorny Institute of Mechanical Engineering Problems of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Department of reliability and dynamic strength, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Filimonikhin Gennadiy, Professor of Central Ukrainian National Technical University, Department of Machine Parts and Applied Mechanics, Kropyvnytskyi (Ukraine); Fomychov Petro, Professor of National Aerospace University «Kharkiv Aviation Institute» named after N. E. Zhukovsky, Department of Aircraft strength, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Legeza Viktor, Professor of National Technical University of Ukraine «Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of Computer Systems Software, Kyiv (Ukraine); Lewis Roland W., Swansea University, Department of Civil Engineering, Swansea (United Kingdom); Loboda Volodymyr, Professor of Oles Honchar Dnipro National University (Ukraine); Lvov Gennadiy, Professor of National Technical University «Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of dynamics and strength of machines, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Pukach Petro, Professor of Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of Computational Mathematics and Programming, Lviv (Ukraine); Romualdovich Sergey, Professor of National Aviation University, Department of Aircraft construction, Kyiv (Ukraine); Sapountzakis Evangelos, National Technical University of Athens, Civil Engineering, Athens (Greece); Tornabene Francesco, University of Bologna, Department DICAM, Bologna (Italy); Uchino Kenji, Pennsylvania State University, Electrical Engineering, University Park (USA); Visser, Frank C., Flowserve, AMSS, Etten-Leur (Netherlands); Yaroshevich Nikolai, Professor of Lutsk National Technical University, Department of Branch Engineering, Lutsk (Ukraine)

ENERGY-SAVING TECHNOLOGIES AND EQUIPMENT

Acaroglu Mustafa, Selcuk Universitesi, Department Energy Division, Konya (Turkey); Avramenko Andriy, Professor of Institute of Engineering Thermophysics of National academy of sciences of Ukraine, Department of heat and mass transfer and hydrodynamics in heat power equipment, Kyiv (Ukraine); Besagni Giorgio, Ricerca sul Sistema Energetico, Energy Systems Development Department, Milan (Italy); Calise Francesco, Universita degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Department of Industrial Engineering, Naples (Italy); Fialko Nataliia, Professor of Institute of Engineering Thermophysics of National academy of sciences of Ukraine, Department of energy efficient of heat technologies, Kyiv (Ukraine); Guerrero Josep M., Aalborg Universitet, Energy Technology, Aalborg (Denmark); Li Haiwen, Kyushu University, Platform of Inter/Transdisciplinary Energy Research (Q-PIT), Fukuoka (Japan); Liubarskyi Borys, Professor of National Technical University «Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of electrical transport and diesel locomotive, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Ma Zhenjun, University of Wollongong, Sustainable Buildings Research Centre, Wollongong (Australia); Morosuk Tatiana, Technical University of Berlin, Institute for Energy Engineering, Berlin (Germany); Popescu Mihaela, University of Craiova, Department of Electromechanics, Environment and Applied Informatics, Craiova (Romania); Rusanov Andrii, Professor of A. Podgorny Institute of Mechanical Engineering Problems of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Department of Hydroaeromechanics of Power Machines, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Santamouris Mattheos, University of New South Wales, Built Environment, Sydney (Australia); Sutikno Tole, Professor of Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Department of Electrical Engineering, Yogyakarta (Indonesia); Tereshchenko Tatiana, Professor of National Technical University of Ukraine «Kyiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of Industrial Electronics, Kyiv (Ukraine); Yerokhov Valerij, Professor of Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of Semiconductor Electronics, Lviv (Ukraine)

INFORMATION AND CONTROLLING SYSTEM

Bezruk Valeriy, Professor of Kharkiv National University of Radio Electronics, Department of Information and Network Engineering, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Shcherbakova Galyna, Associate professor of Odessa National Polytechnic University, Department of electronic apparatus & information technology, Odessa (Ukraine); Uryvsky Leonid, Professor of National Technical University of Ukraine «Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of telecommunication, Kyiv (Ukraine); Sattarova Ulkar Eldar, Associate Professor Azerbaijan University of Architecture and Construction, Department of Information technologies and systems, Baku (Azerbaijan);Starovoitov Valery, Professor, Doctor of sciences, United Institute of Informatics Problems, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Laboratory of System Identification, Minsk (Belarus); Velychko Oleh, Professor of State Enterprise «Ukrmetrteststandard», Institute of Electromagnetic Measurements, Kyiv (Ukraine); Yatskiv Vasyl, Associate professor of Ternopil National Economic University, Department of Cyber Security, Ternopil (Ukraine)

ECOLOGY

Boichenko Sergii, Professor of National aviation universit, Department of ecology, Kyiv (Ukraine); Gomelia Nikolai, Professor of National Technical University of Ukraine «Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of Ecology and Technology of Plant Polymers, Kyiv (Ukraine); Kisi Ozgur, Ilia State University, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Engineering, Tbilisi (Georgia); Makarynskyy Oleg, Australian Institute of Marine Science, Arafura Timor Research Facility (Australia); Remez Natalya, Professor of National Technical University of Ukraine «Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of Environmental Engineering, Kyiv (Ukraine); Scholz Miklas, Lunds Universitet, Department of Water Resources Engineering, Lund (Sweden); Shvedchykova Iryna, Professor Kyiv National University of Technologies and Design, Department of Electronics and Electrical Engineering, Kyiv (Ukraine)

TECHNOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT OF FOOD PRODUCTION

Adegoke Gabriel, Professor of University of Ibadan, Department of Food Technology, Ibadan (Nigeria); Barreca Davide, Universita degli Studi di Messina, Department of Chemical Biological Pharmaceutical and Environmental Sciences, Messina (Italy); Burdo Oleg, Professor of Odessa National Academy of Food Technologies, Department of processes, equipment and energy management, Odesa (Ukraine); Effat Baher, National Research Centre, Dairy Science Department, Cairo (Egypt); Erkmen Osman, Gaziantep Universitesi, Department of Food Engineering, Gaziantep (Turkey); Hafiz Ansar Rasul Suleria, PhD, Kansas State University, Department of Food, Nutrition, Dietetics and Health, Manhattan (USA); Modi Vinod, Central Food Technological Research Institute India, Department of Meat, Fish and Poultry Technology, Mysore (India); Pavlyuk Raisa, Professor of Kharkiv State University of Food Technology and Trade, Department of Technology processing of fruits, vegetables and milk, Kharkiv (Ukraine)

MATERIALS SCIENCE

Apostolopoulos Charis, Patras University, Department of Mechanical Engineering and Aeronautics, Patra (Greece); Buketov Andriy, Professor of Kherson State Maritime Academy, Department of Transport technologies, Kherson (Ukraine); Dubok Vitalii, Professor of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Department of Radiophysical Phaculyty, semiconductor physical chair, Kyiv (Ukraine); Duriagina Zoia, Professor of Lviv Polytechnic National University, Department of Applied Materials Science and Materials Engineering, Lviv (Ukraine); Efremenko Vasily, Professor of State Higher Educational Institution «Priazoivskyi State Technical University», Department of Physics, Mariupol (Ukraine); Gevorkyan Edvin, Professor of Ukrainian State University of Railway Transport, Department of Quality, standartization, sertification and materials making technology, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Gubicza Jenõ, Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Materials Physics, Budapest (Hungary); Gupta Manoj, National University of Singapore, Singapore City (Singapore); Sobol Oleg, Professor of National Technical University «Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute», Department of Materials Science, Kharkiv (Ukraine); Yaremiy Ivan, Professor of Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University, Department of Material Science and New Technology, Ivano-Frankivsk (Ukraine)

Establishers

Journal Indexing

PC Technology Center Ukrainian State University of Railway Transport

Publisher

PC Technology Center

Editorial office's and publisher's address: Shatilova dacha str., 4, Kharkiv, Ukraine, 61145

Contact information

Tel.: +38 (057) 750-89-90 E-mail: eeiet.kh@gmail.com Website: http://www.jet.com.ua, http://journals.uran.ua/eejet



- Scopus CrossRef
- American Chemical Society
- EBSCO. Applied Science & Technology Source EBSCO. Computers & Applied Sciences Complete
- Index Copernicus
- MIAR
- Ulrich's Periodicals Directory
- Bielefeld Academic Search Engine (BASE)
- WorldCat
- CNKI
- ResearchBib
- Polska Bibliografia Naukowa
- Directory of Open Access scholarly Resources
- OpenAIRE
- Open Academic Journals Index
- Sherpa/Romeo

Свідоцтво про державну реєстрації журналу

КВ № 21546-11446 ПР від 08.09.2015

Атестовано

Вищою Атестаційною Комісією України Перелік № 12 постанови Президії ВАК № 1-05.36 від 11.06.03

Постановою Президії ВАК України № 1-05/2 від 27.05.2009, № 1-05/3 від 08.07.2009 Бюлетень ВАК України

Наказом Міністерства освіти і науки України № 612 від 07.05.2019

Категорія А

Підписано до друку 18.06.2020 p.

Формат 60 × 84 1/8. Ум.-друк. арк. 12. Обл.-вид. арк. 11,16 Наклад 1000 екз.

D-

Особливі умови реалізації проектів з будівництва транспортних споруд показують, що управління собівартістю робіт вимагає відповідної оптимізації організаційних та технологічних рішень. Розроблено комп'ютерну модель та методику вибору оптимального управління для мінімізації собівартості будівельних робіт. Модель показує організаційну та технологічну змінність підприємства, характерну для транспортного будівництва. Методика дозволяє проводити варіантне моделювання, за яким складаються закономірності зміни собівартості будівельних робіт, співвідношення прямих і загальновиробничих витратпід впливом наступних факторів: середня трудомісткість сукупності проектів, середня відстань перебазування, належність ресурсів, індустріалізація використаних рішень.

Проведені чисельні експериментальні дослідження кількісно довели, що організаційні та технологічні рішення, характерні в цілому для підприємства, впливають на рішення окремих проектів будівництва транспортних споруд. А саме, виявлено, що при зменшенні середньої трудомісткості сукупності проектів вплив індустріалізації використаних рішень змінюється на протилежний та починає підвищувати собівартість робіт.

Знайдене найменше значення зміни собівартості (-13,6%), що відповідає найбільш ефективними організаційними та технологічними рішеннями: середня трудомісткість сукупності проектів $X_1=2,2$ тис. люд.-год., середня відстань перебазування $X_2=100$ км., використання тільки власних техніки та трудових ресурсів ($X_3=0$ %), мінімальна індустріалізація використаних рішень ($X_4=0$ %).

Виявлено, що підрядні організації, що зводять відносно малі транспортні споруди, мають використовувати традиційні методи виробництва робіт. Також встановлено економічну ефективність рішень, згідно з якими підприємства з будівництва територіально розосереджених споруд мають використовувати підрядні ресурси із місцевою матеріально-технічною базою

Ключові слова: будівництво транспортних об'єктів, організаційні та технологічні рішення, чисельна оптимізація, моделювання витрат

-0

Received date 02.11.2019 Accepted date 04.06.2020 Published date 30.06.2020

1. Introduction

The relevance of management of construction costs by optimizing organizational and technological solutions of transport construction is due to the main three reasons. Namely:

1) large volumes of transport construction;

2) organizational and technological features of construction of such facilities;

3) available reserves for reducing the cost of construction of transport infrastructure.

First, the ever-increasing volume of transport construction indicates the importance of research in this industry. The importance of transport infrastructure construction is evidenced by the fact that in different countries the share of the transport sector varies by 8-10 % of gross domestic product. In some of these countries, up to 7 % of the total employed population works in this area. Many elements of transport infrastructure (roads, bridges, piers, etc.) need repair or reconstruction. In Ukraine, for example, this category includes state importance roads with a length UDC 69.055:69.003

DOI: 10.15587/1729-4061.2020.205117

TRANSPORT CONSTRUCTION COST MANAGEMENT BY RATIONAL ORGANIZATIONAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

O. Meneylyuk

Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor* E-mail: meneilyk@gmail.com

A. Nikiforov PhD* E-mail: nikiforov.aleksey@yahoo.com

I. Meneylyuk PhD

Department of Technology of Building Industry Kharkiv National University of Civil Engineering and Architecture Sumska str., 40, Kharkiv, Ukraine, 61002 E-mail: meneyiv@gmail.com *Department of Technology of Building Industry Odessa State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture Didrikhsona str., 4, Odessa, Ukraine, 65029

Copyright © 2020, O. Meneylyuk, A. Nikiforov, I. Meneylyuk This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

of 49,117.4 km. These roads are to become highways, but the construction is being postponed for various reasons.

Secondly, the peculiarity of the organization of transport construction is the production scale and geographical dispersion of facilities under construction. Technological features: availability of different methods of certain construction works (different degrees of mechanization of works, structures consolidation, etc.).

Third, one of the available reserves of management efficiency is the adoption of rational organizational and technological solutions based on the criteria of construction cost. An unused reserve for efficiency improvement is the optimization of organizational and technological solutions of individual construction projects in the context of the organization as a whole.

The need to implement various transport construction projects encourages cost management by searching for the most effective organizational and technological solutions for such construction. Therefore, research on this topic should be considered relevant for the modern development of the science of management processes, in particular, methods of management of the enterprises of the construction industry and its material and technical base.

2. Literature review and problem statement

Construction of transport facilities has a number of features that significantly distinguish it from other branches of construction. Naturally, the development of numerical methods of cost estimation for the construction of transport infrastructure is still relevant. All these methods include estimation of construction cost [1, 2]. The studied works [1, 2] do not contain the results of research on the impact of organizational and technological solutions of transport construction on cost indicators. The available research does not take into account the specifics of organizational and technological features of transport construction. Most of the analyzed works [1-6] contain studies of the efficiency of transport construction by the impact on the development of industry and tourism (Ukraine, Poland, Belgium, the United States), but are not aimed at managing the construction cost. The literature review proves that transport infrastructure facilities can be extended in length and located in different places [7]. This shows that the construction of such facilities requires organizational and technological solutions that meet these specifics. The size of the country plays an important role in the functionality and types of infrastructure facilities to be [3, 4]. Thus, the development of transport infrastructure requires the construction of specialized transport facilities, which can mainly be characterized by different geographical dispersion and volumes of work necessary for their construction. The main works on the organization of the construction process prove that there is a correlation between the development of organizational and technological solutions related to the whole organization and those related to individual construction projects [8, 9]. At the same time, no special methods have been developed to study numerical relationships between organizational and technological construction solutions related to the enterprise constructing transport facilities in general, and solutions related only to such construction projects.

When modeling [10-12], it is advisable to divide the cost into direct and general production costs according to accounting standards. It is desirable to use modeling to improve the operational activity of construction [13]. However, the search did not reveal the methods of variant optimization modeling of construction solutions adapted to transport construction. Although some optimization studies provide rational solutions for enterprises when using network model analysis [14], their use is too time-consuming. Alternative methods (including Petri nets, fuzzy data optimization methods) cannot be used for a low number of experimental points [15, 16]. The analysis of the works on the optimization of organizational and technological solutions for construction and reconstruction [5, 6, 17] suggests that the use of experimental statistical modeling is an effective way to solve such problems. Such modeling can be used for modeling and managing the cost of enterprises constructing transport facilities. Numerical modeling and optimization of organizational and technological solutions of construction and reconstruction are discussed in [5, 6, 17]. These works prove that the key to effective optimization is the reliability of computer models of the facility under consideration. Optimization methods using experimental statistical modeling are considered in [18-21]. These works allow adapting the methods of experimental statistical modeling to the specifics of transport construction. The application of experimental statistical modeling for optimization is discussed in [22]. In order to create a model of the operational activity of a construction organization, it is recommended [5, 6, 17] to use specialized project management software.

The analysis of the given information shows that transport construction is implemented in special organizational and technological conditions that require numerical research and development of rational management tools. To do this, it is advisable to use cost indicators of construction works in the construction of transport facilities and methods of variant modeling, in particular experimental statistical modeling.

3. The aim and objectives of the study

The aim of the study is to determine the patterns of construction cost management by selecting rational organizational and technological solutions for the construction of transport facilities. This will reduce the cost of construction of transport facilities and streamline the ratio of direct and general production costs.

To achieve this aim, the following objectives were set:

 to select and justify organizational and technological, mathematical methods of modeling;

 to obtain the results of experimental statistical modeling of organizational and technological solutions for the construction of transport facilities;

 to analyze alternatives when selecting a rational management option for different organizational and technological conditions.

4. Materials and methods of cost management when selecting organizational and technological solutions of the enterprise constructing transport facilities

It is proposed to use the theory of experimental statistical modeling for efficiency evaluation and selection of optimal organizational and technological solutions in the management of the enterprise constructing transport facilities. The essence of this modeling is to observe the investigated system by recording the values of the initial indicators when determining the levels of input factors. At the same time, the system is presented in this study as a computer model of organizational and technological solutions of the enterprise. The method for identifying cost management patterns when selecting organizational and technological solutions of the enterprise under consideration is shown in Fig. 1.

The polynomial experimental statistical model (ES model) was chosen in this study to solve optimization problems. Equation (1) represents it in the general form:

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_{11} X_1^2 + b_{12} X_1 X_2 + b_{13} X_1 X_3 + + b_{14} X_1 X_4 + b_2 X_2 + b_{22} X_2^2 + b_{23} X_2 X_3 + b_{24} X_2 X_4 + + b_3 X_3 + b_{33} X_3^2 + b_{34} X_3 X_4 + b_4 X_4 + b_{44} X_4^2.$$
(1)

A numerical experiment was conducted to observe changes in the indicators of the enterprise under study by changing the selected factors, for which a plan of experiments was specially selected. The selection was carried out in the following sequence: N

Table 1

1) determination of characteristics of variable factors: independent, quantitative, varying at three levels, total number -4;

2) selection of characteristics of the ES model: a free-term quadratic model, including direct and quadratic influences and their interaction;

3) selection of an experimental plan that corresponds to the characteristics of the variable factors and the selected ES model in accordance with [22]. Table 1 contains the main characteristics of the considered plans (where e_D , e_A , e_E , e_Q – values of the corresponding optimality criteria; d_{max} – normalized estimate of the model, i. e. a maximum in the planning area; $|p_{\text{max}}|$ – maximum modulus of correlation of coefficients of indicator estimates);

4) selection of an experimental plan based on the analysis of the characteristics and values of optimality criteria.

Plan 4 is immediately rejected by comparing the characteristics of the plans listed in Table 1, even though the values of the optimality criteria are very high. This was due to the large number of observations in the plan (42). Plans 1–3 remain. None are optimal, despite the fact that the values of the optimality criteria of plans 1 and 3 are quite high. Plan 1 has the largest values of the optimality criteria. It was decided to adopt it as an experimental plan and add the $X_1=X_2=X_3=X_4=0$ point, taking into account the experimental conditions.

Regression coefficients were calculated according to standard formulas using the COMPEX dialog system. Regression coefficients are statistical estimates of the true coefficients of a polynomial model, so the coefficients must be tested for significance. That is, whether the estimates of the experimental coefficients of the statistical model are nonzero. This test was performed at a bilateral risk of 10 % (α =0.1), according to the Student's criterion with normal distribution law. After removing the considered coefficients that do not differ from zero according to the test results, the experimental statistical model with all significant estimates of the coefficients was tested for adequacy by Fisher's test. If this criterion was less critical for the risk, given the number of degrees of freedom obtained, $F_a < F_{cr}(\alpha, f_{na}, f_e)$, the model was considered adequate for engineering analysis.

1) Selection of the most significant indicators of the operating activity of the enterprise and organizational and technological factors affecting them.
2) Development of transport construction models based on project drawings.
3) Modeling of the operating activity of the enterprise according to the developed plan of numerical experiment.
4) Construction of experimental statistical models of indicator patterns under the influence of factors using specialized software.
5) Graphical interpretation and quantitative analysis of numerical experiment
results.

Fig. 1. Method of identifying cost management patterns when selecting rational organizational and technological solutions for the construction of transport facilities

Characteristics of the considered experimental plans

							-	-	
lo.	Number of points	e_d	e_a	e_e	e_Q	d_{\max}	$ p_{\rm max} $	Note	Plan number according to [22]
1	24	0.966	0.872	0.647	0.913	18.5	0.26	Composite, symmetrical, three-level	60
2	25	0.627	0643	0.49	0.737	66.5	0.47	Composite, symmetrical, orthogonal	61
3	27	0.979	0.862	0575	0826	-	0.33	Three-level	63
4	42	0.979	0.9	0475	0.82	18.2	0.51	Composite, symmetrical, three-level	65

The transition to the coded levels of factors was carried out according to the standard formula (2):

$$x_{i} = \frac{X_{i} - \frac{X_{i\max} + X_{i\min}}{2}}{\frac{X_{i\max} - X_{i\min}}{2}},$$
(2)

where x_i is a given level of the factor in normalized form, X_i is a given level of the factor in normal form, $X_{i \max}$ is the maximum level of the factor in normal form, $X_{i\min}$ is the minimum level of the factor in normal form.

5. Selection and substantiation of organizational and technological, mathematical methods of modeling

According to item 1 of the method in Fig. 2, the most significant indicators were considered:

– cost change (Y_1) – percentage change in the cost of construction works depending on management actions through the influence of organizational and technological factors. As a basic model, the cost change of which is zero, a model was adopted that reflects the most typical organizational and technological solutions of the enterprise constructing transport facilities. In this study, such a model is observed at the average levels of the considered factors. The cost of construction works is the sum of direct and general production costs. General production costs include: costs for maintenance of engineering and technical staff, relocation of construction equipment, construction of tempo-

rary buildings, utilities, warehouses, etc. Direct costs include: wages and business trips; cost of consumables; equipment operation; operation of construction machines and mechanisms; basic materials; subcontracted services;

– the ratio of direct and general production costs (Y_2) – percentage ratio of general production costs to the amount of direct costs for the project totality.

Variable organizational and technological factors and their numerical characteristics are presented in Table 2. The computer model of organizational and technological solutions for the construction of transport facilities was chosen as the system under study. The graph-analytical form of the model is shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 3 presents a method of modeling the cost change and the ratio of direct and general production costs for the construction of transport facilities.

Variable factors

Table 2

Factor	Variable characteristic		
X_1 – average com- plexity of the project totality	Arithmetic mean of the complexity of construction works of the project totality, thousand hours		
X ₂ – average relocaa tion distance	Arithmetic mean of resource relocation distances between any two projects of the whole totality, km		
X ₃ – attribution of resources	Percentage of own resources in the total resource use		
X ₄ – industrialization of applied solutions	Percentage of using industrial methods in the total volume of works		



Fig. 2. Graph-analytical form of the computer model of organizational and technological solutions for the construction of transport facilities



5) Calculation of values of the "cost change", "ratio of direct and general production costs" indicators .

Fig. 3. Methods of modeling the cost change and the ratio of direct and general production costs for the construction of transport facilities

The presented computer model (Fig. 2) was variable, as the levels of its input parameters (factors) varied in the numerical experiment. The variability of the computer model was possible under the influence of each factor: $-X_1$ – average complexity of the project totality (organizational factor). The project totality should be consistent with the required variation characteristic – the arithmetic mean of the complexity of construction work on the facilities of the totality;

 $-X_2$ – average relocation distance (organizational factor). The variation characteristic of this factor is the arithmetic mean of resource relocation distances between any two facilities of the selected totality. It was used as input data in calculating the cost of relocation of household premises, vehicles, machinery and construction equipment as part of general production costs. The initial data for calculating general production costs are given in Table 3, their composition – in Table 4;

 $-X_3$ – attribution of resources (organizational factor). The difference in the use of subcontracted resources compared to own was calculated as follows: the unit cost of contracted resources (labor, machinery or equipment) is 18 % higher, which is justified by the market situation. However, the cost of relocation of industrial and domestic premises, vehicles, machinery and construction equipment will be lower, as the involvement of subcontractors is desirable when their material and technical base is closer to the construction site. The study assumes that the subcontractor's expenditures for resource relocation are 2 times less. This change is introduced together with the variation of the X₂ factor;

 $-X_4$ – industrialization of applied solutions (technological factor). This factor had a complex impact on the methods of construction of transport facilities. The use of the following industrial methods was modeled: high-performance construction equipment, consolidated products and structures; mainstreaming of production operations; mechanization. Modeling of this factor was carried out by adjusting the resource assignments of the respective works.

Table 3

Initial data for calculating general production costs

Cost item	Unit
Number of teams (10 people)	teams
Distance to construction site	km
Planned completion period	months
Number of relocated pieces of equipment	pieces
Number of relocated sets of construction equipment	set
Number of foremen	people
Number of geodesists	people
Number of storekeepers	people

The developed auxiliary components of modeling methods (Fig. 2, 3, Tables 3, 4) allow to conduct a numerical study of the cost of construction of transport facilities, and the selected factors (Table 1) characterize the features of organizational and technological solutions under consideration.

Table 5

Table 4 Composition of general production costs in the construction of transport facilities

No.	Item	Unit
1	ETS wages (including taxes)	
1.1	foreman	people
1.2	geodesist	people
1.3	storekeeper	people
2	ETS travel costs	
2.1	foreman	people
2.2	geodesist	people
2.3	storekeeper	people
3	Communication	
3.1	Internet	people
3.2	mobile	people
4	Personal protective equipment, medicines, office supplies	supply
5	Protection of construction site	service
6	Certification of labor protection	people
7	Repair	service
8	Relocation of construction equipment	cu/km
9	Transportation of technical and household premises	cu/km
10	Transportation of construction equipment	cu/km
11	Arrangement of temporary water supply	service
12	Arrangement of temporary power supply	service
13	Temporary fencing	service
14	Temporary warehouse	service

6. Results of experimental statistical modeling of organizational and technological solutions for the construction of transport facilities

According to item 2 of the method in Fig. 1, a set of transport construction models was developed, which are different in complexity and location. The models are not included in the paper, as their development is a technical rather than a scientific task. Then, in accordance with item 3 of the algorithm in Fig. 1 and the method in Fig. 3, of all models those were selected corresponding to the levels of the variable factors X_1 ("average complexity of the project totality") and X_2 ("average relocation distance") according to the plan of experiments (Table 5, columns 2–3). After that, the set of models was transformed according to the action of the X_3 ("attribution of resources") and X_4 ("industrialization of applied solutions") factors according to the method in Fig. 3 and the experimental plan (Table 5, columns 4–5).

The results of the numerical experiment are presented in Table 5. This table summarizes the results of calculations (columns 6–7) according to the method shown in Fig. 3. They cannot be more fully disclosed due to the limited volume of the paper.

As a result of experimental statistical modeling using the COMPEX program (item 4 of the method in Fig. 1), the following equations (3), (4) of changes in the studied indicators under the influence of variable factors were obtained.

Hereinafter, the coefficients that are considered close to zero according to the assessment of their significance by the Student's criterion are not shown. For the convenience of engineering calculations, the dependences were transformed using formula (1), which allowed the use of full-scale values of factor levels in the calculation of indicators Y_1 ("cost change" – formula 3), Y_2 ("ratio of direct and general production costs" – formula 4).

$$Y_{1} = -13.083 + 0.557X_{1} - 0.006X_{1}^{2} - -2 \times 10^{-4}X_{1}X_{2} + 8 \times 10^{-4}X_{1}X_{3} - -0.002X_{1}X_{4} + 0.018X_{2} - 4 \times 10^{-6}X_{2}^{2} - -5 \times 10^{-5}X_{2}X_{3} + 0.06X_{3} + 0.037X_{4},$$
(3)

$$Y_2 = 9.281 - 3.746X_1 + 2.469X_1^2 - 2.839X_1X_2 + +1.3X_1X_3 + 3.745X_2 - 1.466X_2X_3 - 1.99X_3.$$
(4)

The most convenient graphical representation of the change in the indicator from four factors is the "squares on a square" scheme. This scheme reflects the pattern of changes in the indicator from two factors within the nine "small" squares, which are located on the "large" square, reflecting nine combinations of the values of the other two factors. When constructing diagrams of this type, it is advisable to divide the factors into two pairs, each of which has its own meaning in terms of studying a given system.

Results of experimental statistical modeling

No.	X ₁ , thousand hours	X ₂ , km	X3, %	X4, %	Cost change, Y ₁	Ratio of direct and general pro- duction costs, Y ₂
1	37	1,000	100	100	-0.222 %	8.20 %
2	37	1,000	100	0	5.223 %	7.75 %
3	37	1,000	0	100	-4.647 %	10.68 %
4	37	1,000	0	0	0.373 %	10.09 %
5	37	100	100	100	-1.691 %	6.61 %
6	37	100	100	0	3.753 %	6.24 %
7	37	100	0	100	-7.587 %	7.27 %
8	37	100	0	0	-2.566 %	7.27 %
9	2.2	1,000	100	100	2.301 %	16.13 %
10	2.2	1,000	100	0	-1.015 %	16.76 %
11	2.2	1,000	0	100	3.225 %	27.84 %
12	2.2	1,000	0	0	0.333 %	28.87 %
13	2.2	100	100	100	-5.141 %	7.69 %
14	2.2	100	100	0	-8.457 %	7.99 %
15	2.2	100	0	100	-11.658 %	9.41 %
16	2.2	100	0	0	-14.550 %	9.76 %
17	37	550	50	50	-0.967 %	7.93 %
18	2.2	550	50	50	-2.274 %	15.02 %
19	19.6	1,000	50	50	0.895 %	10.98 %
20	19.6	100	50	50	-2.125 %	7.65 %
21	19.6	550	100	50	1.896 %	8.35 %
22	19.6	550	50	100	0.063 %	9.25 %
23	19.6	550	0	50	-3.127 %	10.35 %
24	19.6	550	50	0	1.742 %	9.08 %
25	19.6	550	50	50	-0.615 %	9.31 %

Table 5 allows constructing experimental statistical dependences of the studied indicators on variable factors by processing the presented data with the help of modern software.

7. Analysis of alternatives in the management of cost indicators by selecting organizational and technological solutions

In this study, the factors X_1 and X_2 reflect the organizational solutions that characterize the operating activity of the construction enterprise as a whole; the factors X_3 and X_4 – organizational and technological solutions within a separate construction project. Further in this section, the graphical interpretation and quantitative analysis of patterns 3 and 4 according to item 5 of the algorithm in Fig. 1 are presented.

Consider Fig. 4. The figure shows graphically the pattern of cost change (Y_1) from the attribution of resources (X_3) and industrialization of applied solutions (X_4) with nine combinations of levels of average complexity of the project totality (X_1) and average relocation distance (X_2) .

Hereinafter, the extremes of the indicators within the two-factor diagrams are highlighted in bold, and within the entire four-factor diagram – underlined.

The "cost change" indicator (Y_1) reflects the relative financial efficiency of management under various organizational and technological solutions. As can be seen in Fig. 3, such efficiency differs in certain organizational and technological solutions at facilities for different levels of organizational and technological factors that generally characterize the operating activity of the enterprise under consideration. In addition, the influence of such solutions (i. e., the influence of the X₃ and X₄ factors) differs depending on the levels of the X₁ and X₂ factors.

Table 6 reflects the relative efficiency of management through organizational and technological solutions that generally relate to the activities of the construction enterprise under consideration. The estimates presented in Table 6 were calculated by finding the difference between the maximum and minimum cost change (Y_1) for each of the nine "small" squares in Fig. 4.

It can be noted that the efficiency of the necessary organizational and technological solutions for a particular object increases with increasing average complexity of the project totality $(X_1 \rightarrow max)$ and decreases with increasing average relocation distance $(X_2 \rightarrow max)$.

The level of the X_1 and X_2 factors also affects how the X_3 and X_4 factors affect the value of Y_1 . At low values of the Y₁ factor, the increase of industrialization of applied solutions (X₄) increases construction costs (at $X_2=100$ km by 2.1 %, at X_2 =550 km - by 3.05 %, at X_2 =1,000 km - from 3.1 %). At high - decreases (at X_2 =100 km - by 5.4 %, at X_2 =550 km - by 5.07 %, at X_2 =1,000 km by 4.9 %). In other words, the use of highly efficient construction and installation methods is desirable at large facilities. Analyzing the angle of inclination of the isolines to the axis of the "attribution of resources" factor (X_3) , we can come to the following conclusion. An increase in the level of the X_2 factor reduces the effect of the X_3 factor on the indicator. In other words, the use of subcontracted resources is more appropriate when facilities are far apart. However, the use of own labor resources, machines and mechanisms for the construction of transport facilities is more profitable than attracting them from the outside in any case.

The minimum value of the "cost change" indicator (Y₁), equal to 13.6 %, is observed at the average complexity of the project totality X_1 =2.2 thousand hours, the average re-

location distance $X_2=100$ km, $X_3=0$ %, industrialization of applied solutions $X_4=0$ %.

Fig. 5 contains a graphical representation of the impact of attribution of resources (X_3) and industrialization of applied solutions (X_4) on the ratio of direct and general production costs (Y_2) with nine options of the average complexity of the project totality (X_1) and average relocation distance (X_2) .



Fig. 4. Cost management (Y_1) by selecting attribution of resources (X_3) and industrialization of applied solutions (X_4) for different levels of the "average complexity of the project totality" (X_1) and "average relocation distance" (X_2) factors

Table 6

Relative management efficiency (%) when selecting organizational and technological solutions for different levels of the "average complexity of the project totality" (X₁) and "average relocation" (X₂) factors

Level of X_2	Level of X_1 factor, thousand hours					
factor, km	2.2	19.6	37			
1,000	3.51	3	8.56			
550	5.73	5.22	10.79			
100	7.96	7.45	13.02			

The influence of attribution of resources (X_3) on the ratio of direct and general production costs (Y_2) remains unchanged at all points of the factor space. Increasing the use of subcontracted resources reduces general production costs and increases the share of direct costs. In the general case, this leads to a decrease in Y_2 .

The influence of the X_1 and X_2 factors depending on the degree of influence of the X_3 factor on the indicator should be noted. Table 7 estimates the impact of attribution of resources (X₃) on the ratio of direct and general production costs (Y₂). The estimates presented in the table are calculated by finding the difference between the maximum and minimum ratio of direct and general production costs (Y₂) for each of the nine "small" squares in Fig. 5. The influence of the X₃ factor on Y₂ decreases with increasing average complexity of the project totality (X₁→max) and increases with increasing average relocation distance (X₂→max).

Fig. 5. Management of the ratio of direct and general production costs (Y₂) by selecting the attribution of resources (X₃) and industrialization of applied solutions (X₄) for different levels of the "average complexity of the project totality" (X₁) and "average relocation distance" (X₂)

factors

Table 7

Changes in the ratio of direct and general production costs (%) for various organizational and technological solutions of transport construction

Level of X ₂	Level of X_1 factor, thousand hours					
factor, km	2.2	19.6	37			
1,000	3.51	3	8.56			
550	5.73	5.22	10.79			
100	7.96	7.45	13.02			

The study shows that effective organizational and technological solutions for the construction of transport facilities can reduce the cost of works and streamline the cost structure. In addition, the connection between organizational and technological solutions in the management of the transport construction enterprise as a whole and in the management of the construction of individual transport facilities is proved.

8. Discussion of the results of modeling organizational and technological solutions for cost management of transport construction

Summing up the study, we can emphasize the following. The problem of optimal cost management of transport construction can be solved by selecting rational organizational and technological solutions for transport construction. This is confirmed by the definition of patterns for cost management (Fig. 1) and the computer model of organizational and technological solutions for the construction of transport facilities (Fig. 2). The computer model demonstrates organizational and technological variability of transport construction, which is modeled by a set of auxiliary components of the modeling method (Fig. 3, Tables 3, 4) and experimental statistical modeling. The resulting pattern of changes in the cost – formula (3) – and the ratio of direct and general production costs – formula (4) – are explained by the high share of general production costs in the cost of transport construction and special organizational and technological conditions (different scales and dispersion of facilities).

Analytical (3), (4) and graphical (Fig. 4, 5) representation of the found patterns allows to offer optimal organizational and technological solutions according to the cost criteria. Thus, any transport construction enterprise can reduce the cost of work by selecting and implementing the necessary solutions depending on the conditions of operating activities.

The main innovations resulting from the study are:

 method of developing patterns of cost management of transport construction by selecting rational organizational and technological solutions (Fig. 1);

- experimental statistical patterns of changes in the cost and ratio of direct and general production costs of transport construction under the influence of organizational and technological factors – formulas (3) and (4).

In addition, for transport construction, the analysis of patterns showed that for facilities of different scales and dispersion (located at different distances from each other), different solutions on the attribution of resources and industrialization of applied solutions may be rational. For example, for large facilities it is more efficient to use powerful mechanical means, consolidated structures, etc., and for small – less efficient. Similarly, for dispersed facilities it is more effective to use the involved equipment, for closely located – own. Due to obtaining numerical patterns, this result is qualitatively new compared to previous studies [8, 9]. Thus, the knowledge about the correlation between organizational and technological solutions that apply to the entire organization and those related to individual construction projects was further developed.

The obtained experimental statistical dependences allow managing organizational and technological solutions of transport construction by selecting rational values of average complexity of the project totality, average relocation distance of equipment and temporary premises, equipment ownership (own or involved) and industrialization of applied solutions (use of powerful mechanical means, structures consolidation, etc.). In comparison with [1–6], these results allow to reduce the cost of construction works and to rationalize the ratio of direct and general production costs.

The reliability of the presented results is substantiated by the following:

using the theory of experimental planning;

.....

 developing numerical models of organizational and technological solutions of transport construction using real construction projects;



– using the methods of mathematical statistics in the processing of experimental results.

The obtained patterns of changes in the cost of work and the ratio of direct and general production costs, as well as the results of their analysis, can be used only for transport construction enterprises, as the basis for a numerical experiment are models of transport construction processes. In addition, the nature of changes in the studied indicators may differ beyond the variation of the "average complexity of the project totality" (X₁=[2.2; 37] thousand hours) and "average relocation distance" (X₂=[100; 1,000] km) factors. The consistency of the simulation results is ensured by the statistical compliance of labor cost standards and resource prices relative to those used in the development of models of transport construction processes.

These limitations determine the two directions of further research on the topic. First, it is the development of a mathematical apparatus of cost management when selecting organizational and technological solutions for other types of construction: industrial, civil, and so on. Secondly, it is the development of a mathematical apparatus for managing other indicators of the enterprise (profit, income, etc.) when selecting the current factors of economic, organizational and technological nature. Both directions can be implemented using an approach similar to the method of developing patterns (Fig. 1).

9. Conclusions

1. The use of experimental statistical modeling and methods of developing patterns allows with a given error (5%) to manage the cost of transport construction by selecting rational organizational and technological solutions.

2. The most effective cost values are:

– "cost change" Y_1 =-13.6 %, observed at the average complexity of the project totality X_1 =2.2 thousand hours, average relocation distance X_2 =100 km, using only own equipment and labor resources (X_3 =0 %), minimal industrialization of applied solutions (X_4 =0 %).

– "ratio of direct and general production costs" Y₂=4.99 %, observed at the average complexity of the project totality X₁=37 thousand hours, average relocation distance X₂=100 km, only attracted equipment and labor resources (X₃=100 %), and any degree of industrialization of applied solutions (X₄).

3. For transport construction, it is proved that:

- for large facilities, the use of powerful mechanical means, consolidated structures, etc. is less costly (cost reduction by 5.39-5.58 %) and for small - more costly (cost increase by 2.91-3.36 %);

– for dispersed facilities, the use of attracted equipment is less costly (cost reduction by 0.6-3.17 %), for closely located – own (cost reduction by 4.6-7.58 %).

References

- Barakchi, M., Torp, O., Belay, A. M. (2017). Cost Estimation Methods for Transport Infrastructure: A Systematic Literature Review. Procedia Engineering, 196, 270–277. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.07.199
- Bonfatti, R., Poelhekke, S. (2017). From mine to coast: Transport infrastructure and the direction of trade in developing countries. Journal of Development Economics, 127, 91–108. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2017.03.004
- Meersman, H., Nazemzadeh, M. (2017). The contribution of transport infrastructure to economic activity: The case of Belgium. Case Studies on Transport Policy, 5 (2), 316–324. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.03.009
- Melo, P. C., Graham, D. J., Brage-Ardao, R. (2013). The productivity of transport infrastructure investment: A meta-analysis of empirical evidence. Regional Science and Urban Economics, 43 (5), 695–706. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2013.05.002
- 5. Meneylyuk, A., Ershov, M., Nikiforov, A., Meneylyuk, I. (2016). Optimizatsiya organizatsionno-tehnologicheskih resheniy rekonstruktsii vysotnyh inzhenernyh sooruzheniy. Kyiv: TOV NVP "Interservis", 332.
- Meneylyuk, A., Lobakova, L. (2015). Vybor effektivnyh modeley finansirovaniya i organizatsii rabot po pereprofilirovaniyu zdaniy. Stroitel'noe proizvodstvo, 59, 55–61. Available at: https://ndibvkiev.ua/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/BV-59_Meneiluk_Lobakova.pdf
- Kopiec, A. C., Siguencia, L. O., Szostak, Z. G., Marzano, G. (2019). Transport infrastructures expenditures and costs analysis: The case of Poland. Procedia Computer Science, 149, 508–514. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.01.169
- Donenko, V. (2012). Naukovo-prykladnyi instrumentarii ratsionalizatsiyi parametriv adaptyvnoho rozvytku budivelnykh orhanizatsiy. Budivelne vyrobnytstvo, 54, 12–17. Available at: https://ndibv.kiev.ua/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/BV-54_Donenko.pdf
- 9. Mlodetskiy, V. R. (2015). Information flows in the organizational structure. Visnyk Prydniprovskoi derzhavnoi akademii budivnytstva ta arkhitektury, 7-8 (209), 111–121. Available at: http://visnyk.pgasa.dp.ua/article/view/51259/47069
- Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., Vasarhelyi, M., Yan, Z. (2017). Impact of business analytics and enterprise systems on managerial accounting. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 25, 29–44. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2017.03.003
- 11. Kumar, R., Vrat, P. (1989). Using computer models in corporate planning. Long Range Planning, 22 (2), 114–120. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(89)90130-1
- Sikorová, E., Meixnerová, L., Menšík, M., Pászto, V. (2015). Descriptive Analysis and Spatial Projection of Performance among the Small and Middle Enterprises in the Olomouc Region in the Czech Republic in the Context of Accounting and Tax Legislation. Procedia Economics and Finance, 34, 528–534. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)01664-0
- Campbell, G.K. (Ed.) (2014). The Manager's Handbook for Business Security. Elsevier, 296. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/c2013-0-15978-8
- Ma, T., Guo, J. (2018). Study on information transmission model of enterprise informal organizations based on the hypernetwork. Chinese Journal of Physics, 56 (5), 2424–2438. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjph.2018.06.018
- Martinez-Araiza, U., López-Mellado, E. (2016). CTL Model Repair for Inter-organizational Business Processes Modelled as oWFN. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 49 (2), 6–11. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.03.002
- Ricciardi, F., Zardini, A., Rossignoli, C. (2016). Organizational dynamism and adaptive business model innovation: The triple paradox configuration. Journal of Business Research, 69 (11), 5487–5493. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.154

- 17. Meneylyuk, A., Chernov, I., Lobakova, L. (2014). Vybor effektivnyh modeley realizatsii proektov v usloviyah izmenyayushcheysya finansovoy situatsii. Visnyk Natsionalnoho tekhnichnoho universytetu "KhPI". Seriya: Stratehichne upravlinnia, upravlinnia portfeliamy, prohramamy ta proektamy, 2 (1045), 71–75. Available at: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/vntux_ctr_2014_2_13
- Nikiforo, A. L., Menejlju, I. A. (2016). Efficient reconstruction of engineering buildings in conditions of organizational constraints. Automation of Technological and Business Processes, 8 (1), 60–65. doi: https://doi.org/10.21691/atbp.v8i1.24
- 19. Bose, R., Conno, W. (1960). Analysis of fractionally replicated 2"-3" designs. Bull. L'Inst. Intern. Stat., 37, 141-160.
- 20. Cox, D. (1958). Planning of Experiments. John Wiley, 320.
- Kalmus, H. (1952). The Design and Analysis of Experiments. By Oscar Kempthorne. New York. Annals of Eugenics, 17 (1), 96–97. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1809.1952.tb02500.x
- 22. Brodskiy, V. Z., Brodskiy, L. I., Golikova, T. I., Nikitina, E. P., Panchenko, L. A. (1982). Tablitsy planov eksperimenta. Dlya faktornyh i polinomial'nyh modeley. Moscow: Metallurgiya, 753. Available at: https://www.twirpx.com/file/789483/

Розроблено підхід до вибору раціонального управління проектами спорудження висотних будівель, який забезпечує ефективне використання ресурсів. Такий підхід спрямований на забезпечення економічності, енергоощадності, якості, безпечності та екологічності висотних будівель.

D-

Запропоновано вирішувати такі завдання на основі пошуку раціональних рішень, що найбільше відповідають бажаним (заданим) техніко-економічним характеристикам (показникам), на основі застосування статистичного моделювання проектів як керованих процесів. Разом із цим доцільно враховувати вплив визначальних організаційно-технологічних, технічних та управлінських факторів. Для оцінювання рішень відносно цих факторів необхідно відшукати раціональне значення критерію ефективності управління. З позиції замовника (інвестора) в якості одного з таких критеріїв доцільно розглядати мінімум вартості спорудження висотних будівель.

Запропоновано враховувати вплив факторів якості, безпечності, енергоефективності, екологічності, оптимальної експлуатації висотної будівлі. Достатність і суттєвість впливу цих факторів на прийняття раціональних рішень при управлінні проектами висотного будівництва обґрунтовано результатами експертного опитування.

Отримано математичні моделі, які засновані на врахуванні системного впливу визначальних факторів. Ці моделі надають можливість кількісного оцінювання рівня досягнення заданого результату, зокрема за критерієм вартості спорудження висотних будівель, на різних етапах управління проектом.

Одержані результати є актуальними, оскільки дозволяють досягати раціональних значень бажаних показників у конкретних умовах виконання будівельно-монтажних робіт та в межах заданих ресурсних обмежень. Оперуючи прогнозованими оцінками очікуваних результатів, інвестори мають можливість відкоригувати свої цілі та обрати найбільш раціональний варіант реалізації інвестиційно-будівельного проекту

Ключові слова: проект, раціональне управління проектом, критерій ефективності управління, висотне будівництво, ефективне використання ресурсів, організаційно-технологічні, технічні та управлінські фактори

D-

Received date 20.02.2020 Accepted date 08.06.2020 Published date 30.06.2020

1. Introduction

The development of modern cities is characterized by high population density, a shortage of land plots for the construction of the sites for different functional purposes,

UDC 69.032.22:658.512.4

DOI: 10.15587/1729-4061.2020.205135

CHOOSING THE RATIONAL MANAGEMENT OF HIGH-RISE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

T. Kravchunovska Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor* E-mail: kts789d@gmail.com

Ye. Zaiats Doctor of Technical Sciences, Associate Professor*

> V. Kovalov PhD, Associate Professor Department of Engineering Geology and Geotechnics**

> > D. Nechepurenko PhD*

> > > K. Kirnos

PhD Department of Safety of Life Dniprovsky State Agrarian and Economic University Serhiya Yefremova str., 25, Dnipro, Ukraine, 49600 *Department of Planning and Operation in Construction**

**State Higher Educational Institution "Prydniprovska State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture" Chernyshevskoho str., 24a, Dnipro, Ukraine, 49005

Copyright © 2020, T. Kravchunovska, Ye. Zaiats, V. Kovalov, D. Nechepurenko, K. Kirnos This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

> which, in turn, raises the cost of vacant sites in cities. It causes an increase in the number of stories in buildings and density of the city development, and in combination with measures of the economy, caused by the increasing scale of construction of buildings, makes high-rise buildings a viable

- Brodetskii, G. L. (2017). Influence of order payment delays on the efficiency of multinomenclature reserve control models. Automation and Remote Control, 78 (11), 2016–2024. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1134/s0005117917110078
- Tyagi, A. P. (2014). An Optimization of an Inventory Model of Decaying-Lot Depleted by Declining Market Demand and Extended with Discretely Variable Holding Costs. International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations, 5, 71–86. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.5267/j.ijiec.2013.09.005
- Vijayashree, M., Uthayakumar, R. (2015). An EOQ Model for Time Deteriorating Items with Infinite & Finite Production Rate with Shortage and Complete Backlogging. Operations Research and Applications: An International Journal, 2 (4), 31–50. doi: https:// doi.org/10.5121/oraj.2015.2403
- 16. Vijayashree, M., Uthayakumar, R. (2017). A single-vendor and a single-buyer integrated inventory model with ordering cost reduction dependent on lead time. Journal of Industrial Engineering International, 13 (3), 393–416. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40092-017-0193-y
- Gerami, V., Shidlovskiy, I. (2014). Delivery by several vehicles in inventory management. Risk: resursy, informatsiya, snabzhenie, konkurentsiya, 3, 66–71. Available at: https://www.elibrary.ru/item. asp?id=22510104
- Golovan, O. O., Oliynyk, O., Shyshkin, V. O. (2015). Logistic business processes modelling using asymptotic methods. Aktualni problemy ekonomiky, 9, 428–433. Available at: http://nbuv.gov.ua/ UJRN/ape 2015 9 55
- Yousefli, A., Ghazanfari, M. (2012). A Stochastic Decision Support System for Economic Order Quantity Problem. Advances in Fuzzy Systems, 2012, 1–8. doi: https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/650419
- E`rde`ne`bat, M., Kuz`min, O. V., Tungalag, N., E`nkhbat, R. (2017). Optimization approach to the stochastic problem of the stocks control. Modern technologies. System analysis. Modeling, 3 (55), 106–109. doi: https://doi.org/10.26731/1813-9108.2017.3(55).106-110
- 21. Kaur, P., Deb, M. (2014). An Intuitionistic Approach to an Inventory Model without Shortages. International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology, 22 (2), 25–35. Available at: https://www. researchgate.net/profile/Prabjot_Kaur/publication/273135862_ An_Intuitionistic_Approach_to_an_Inventory_Model_without_ Shortages/links/54f949930cf28d6deca3f55f/An-Intuitionistic-Approach-to-an-Inventory-Model-without-Shortages.pdf
- 22. Ritha, W., Sagayarani SSA, Sr. A. (2013) Determination of Optimal Order Quantity of Integrated an Inventory Model Using Yager Ranking Method. International Journal of Physics and Mathematical Sciences, 3 (1), 73–80. Available at: https://www.cibtech.org/J-PHYSICS-MATHEMATICAL-SCIENCES/PUBLICA-TIONS/2013/Vol%203%20No.%201/12-006...%20Ritha...Determination...Method...73-80.pdf
- 23. Cárdenas-Barrón, L. E., Sana, S. S. (2015). Multi-item EOQ inventory model in a two-layer supply chain while demand varies with promotional effort. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 39 (21), 6725–6737. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2015.02.004
- 24. Oliynyk, O. M., Kovalenko, N. M., Golovan, O. O. (2016). Adaptation of logistics management systems using asymptotic methods. Aktualni problemy ekonomiky, 5, 395–401. Available at: http:// nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/ape_2016_5_46
- 25. Horoshkova, L., Khlobystov, I., Volkov, V., Holovan, O., Markova, S. (2019). Asymptotic Methods in Optimization of Inventory Business Processes. Proceedings of the 2019 7th International Conference on Modeling, Development and Strategic Management of Economic System (MDSMES 2019). doi: https://doi.org/10.2991/mdsmes-19.2019.12
- Sanni, S., Jovanoski, Z., Sidhu, H. S. (2020). An economic order quantity model with reverse logistics program. Operations Re-

search Perspectives, 7, 100133. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orp. 2019.100133

- Rasay, H., Golmohammadi, A. M. (2020). Modeling and Analyzing Incremental Quantity Discounts in Transportation Costs for a Joint Economic Lot Sizing Problem. Iranian Journal of Management Studies (IJMS), 13 (1), 23–49. doi: https://doi.org/10.22059/ ijms.2019.253476.673494
- Satiti, D., Rusdiansyah, A., Dewi, R. S. (2020). Modified EOQ Model for Refrigerated Display's Shelf-Space Allocation Problem. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 722, 012014. doi: https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/722/1/012014
- Lukinskiy, V., Fateeva, N. (2011). Sovershenstvovanie analiticheskih metodov upravleniya zapasami. Logistics, 2, 46–49. Available at: http://www.logistika-prim.ru/sites/default/files/46-49_0.pdf

DOI: 10.15587/1729-4061.2020.205117 TRANSPORT CONSTRUCTION COST MANAGEMENT BY RATIONAL ORGANIZATIONAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS (p. 16–24)

Oleksandr Meneylyuk

Odessa State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Odessa, Ukraine ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1007-309X

Aleksey Nikiforov

Odessa State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Odessa, Ukraine **ORCID**: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7002-7055

Ivan Meneylyuk

Kharkiv National University of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7075-2898

Special conditions of implementation of construction projects of transport facilities show that cost management requires appropriate optimization of organizational and technological solutions. The computer model and method for selecting optimal management by the criterion of construction cost minimization are developed. The model shows the organizational and technological variability of the enterprise, characteristic of transport construction. The method allows to carry out variant modeling, according to which the patterns of changes in the construction cost, the ratio of direct and general production costs are compiled under the influence of the following factors: average complexity of the project totality, average relocation distance, attribution of resources, industrialization of applied solutions.

The numerical experimental studies quantitatively proved that organizational and technological solutions characteristic of the enterprise as a whole affect the solutions of individual construction projects of transport facilities. In particular, it was found that with a decrease in the average complexity of the project totality, the influence of industrialization of applied solutions is reversed and begins to increase the cost of works.

The lowest value of cost change (-13.6 %) was found, characterized by the most effective organizational and technological solutions: the average complexity of the project totality $X_1=2.2$ thousand hours, the average relocation distance $X_2=100$ km, using only own equipment and labor resources ($X_3=0$ %), minimal industrialization of applied solutions ($X_4=0$ %).

It was revealed that contracting organizations building relatively small transport facilities should use traditional methods of work. The cost efficiency of solutions, according to which enterprises constructing geographically dispersed facilities should use contracted resources with local material and technical base was also determined. **Keywords:** construction of transport facilities, organizational and technological solutions, numerical optimization.

References

- Barakchi, M., Torp, O., Belay, A. M. (2017). Cost Estimation Methods for Transport Infrastructure: A Systematic Literature Review. Procedia Engineering, 196, 270–277. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.proeng.2017.07.199
- Bonfatti, R., Poelhekke, S. (2017). From mine to coast: Transport infrastructure and the direction of trade in developing countries. Journal of Development Economics, 127, 91–108. doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2017.03.004
- Meersman, H., Nazemzadeh, M. (2017). The contribution of transport infrastructure to economic activity: The case of Belgium. Case Studies on Transport Policy, 5 (2), 316–324. doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.03.009
- Melo, P. C., Graham, D. J., Brage-Ardao, R. (2013). The productivity of transport infrastructure investment: A meta-analysis of empirical evidence. Regional Science and Urban Economics, 43 (5), 695–706. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2013.05.002
- Meneylyuk, A., Ershov, M., Nikiforov, A., Meneylyuk, I. (2016). Optimizatsiya organizatsionno-tehnologicheskih resheniy rekonstruktsii vysotnyh inzhenernyh sooruzheniy. Kyiv: TOV NVP "Interservis", 332.
- Meneylyuk, A., Lobakova, L. (2015). Vybor effektivnyh modeley finansirovaniya i organizatsii rabot po pereprofilirovaniyu zdaniy. Stroitel'noe proizvodstvo, 59, 55–61. Available at: https://ndibv.kiev. ua/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/BV-59_Meneiluk_Lobakova.pdf
- Kopiec, A. C., Siguencia, L. O., Szostak, Z. G., Marzano, G. (2019). Transport infrastructures expenditures and costs analysis: The case of Poland. Procedia Computer Science, 149, 508–514. doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.01.169
- Donenko, V. (2012). Naukovo-prykladnyi instrumentarii ratsionalizatsiyi parametriv adaptyvnoho rozvytku budivelnykh orhanizatsiy. Budivelne vyrobnytstvo, 54, 12–17. Available at: https://ndibv.kiev. ua/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/BV-54_Donenko.pdf
- Mlodetskiy, V. R. (2015). Information flows in the organizational structure. Visnyk Prydniprovskoi derzhavnoi akademii budivnytstva ta arkhitektury, 7-8 (209), 111–121. Available at: http://visnyk. pgasa.dp.ua/article/view/51259/47069
- Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., Vasarhelyi, M., Yan, Z. (2017). Impact of business analytics and enterprise systems on managerial accounting. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 25, 29–44. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2017.03.003
- Kumar, R., Vrat, P. (1989). Using computer models in corporate planning. Long Range Planning, 22 (2), 114–120. doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(89)90130-1
- Sikorová, E., Meixnerová, L., Menšík, M., Pászto, V. (2015). Descriptive Analysis and Spatial Projection of Performance among the Small and Middle Enterprises in the Olomouc Region in the Czech Republic in the Context of Accounting and Tax Legislation. Procedia Economics and Finance, 34, 528–534. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)01664-0
- Campbell, G. K. (Ed.) (2014). The Manager's Handbook for Business Security. Elsevier, 296. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/c2013-0-15978-8
- Ma, T., Guo, J. (2018). Study on information transmission model of enterprise informal organizations based on the hypernetwork. Chinese Journal of Physics, 56 (5), 2424–2438. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cjph.2018.06.018
- Martinez-Araiza, U., López-Mellado, E. (2016). CTL Model Repair for Inter-organizational Business Processes Modelled as oWFN. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 49 (2), 6–11. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ifacol.2016.03.002

- Ricciardi, F., Zardini, A., Rossignoli, C. (2016). Organizational dynamism and adaptive business model innovation: The triple paradox configuration. Journal of Business Research, 69 (11), 5487–5493. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.154
- Meneylyuk, A., Chernov, I., Lobakova, L. (2014). Vybor effektivnyh modeley realizatsii proektov v usloviyah izmenyayushcheysya finansovoy situatsii. Visnyk Natsionalnoho tekhnichnoho universytetu "KhPI". Seriya: Stratehichne upravlinnia, upravlinnia portfeliamy, prohramamy ta proektamy, 2 (1045), 71–75. Available at: http:// nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/vntux_ctr_2014_2_13
- Nikiforo, A. L., Menejlju, I. A. (2016). Efficient reconstruction of engineering buildings in conditions of organizational constraints. Automation of Technological and Business Processes, 8 (1), 60–65. doi: https://doi.org/10.21691/atbp.v8i1.24
- Bose, R., Conno, W. (1960). Analysis of fractionally replicated 2"-3" designs. Bull. L'Inst. Intern. Stat., 37, 141–160.
- 20. Cox, D. (1958). Planning of Experiments. John Wiley, 320.
- Kalmus, H. (1952). The Design and Analysis of Experiments. By Oscar Kempthorne. New York. Annals of Eugenics, 17 (1), 96–97. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1809.1952.tb02500.x
- 22. Brodskiy, V. Z., Brodskiy, L. I., Golikova, T. I., Nikitina, E. P., Panchenko, L. A. (1982). Tablitsy planov eksperimenta. Dlya faktornyh i polinomial'nyh modeley. Moscow: Metallurgiya, 753. Available at: https://www.twirpx.com/file/789483/

DOI: 10.15587/1729-4061.2020.205135 CHOOSING THE RATIONAL MANAGEMENT OF HIGH-RISE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (p. 24–33)

Tetiana Kravchunovska

State Higher Educational Institution "Prydniprovska State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture", Dnipro, Ukraine **ORCID**: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0986-8995

Yevhen Zaiats

State Higher Educational Institution "Prydniprovska State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture", Dnipro, Ukraine **ORCID**: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7382-919X

Viacheslav Kovalov

State Higher Educational Institution "Prydniprovska State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture", Dnipro, Ukraine **ORCID**: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6731-4192

Daria Nechepurenko

State Higher Educational Institution "Prydniprovska State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture", Dnipro, Ukraine **ORCID**: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9292-4790

Kateryna Kirnos

Dniprovsky State Agrarian and Economic University, Dnipro, Ukraine ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6410-5264

The approach to the choice of rational management of high-rise building construction, which ensures the effective use of resources, was developed. This approach is aimed at ensuring cost-effectiveness, energy-saving, quality, safety, and environmental friendliness of high-rise buildings.

It was proposed to solve such tasks based on the search for rational decisions that correspond most to desirable (assigned) technical and economic characteristics (indicators), based on the application of statistical modeling of projects as manageable processes. At the same time, it is advisable to take into consideration the influence of determining organizational-technological, technical, and managerial factors. To assess decisions regarding these factors, it is necessary to